

*****ENFORCEMENT CONFIDENTIAL*****
9/8/93

TO: Robledo

FM: Werner

SUBJECT: Maynard Drums



9:00 AM - Called Steve Wells (OCI) in Houston (713) 227-1882. Im
points he said are as follows:

- * Well said that OCI is conducting presently a criminal invest for this Site. He said it will be a very extensive investig said that he prefers we not talk to PRPs (he added that OCI yet know of all PRPs involved).
- * Wells wants Robledo to talk to Lisa Rivera (attorney with OC establish what civil activities can/cannot be pursued while criminal investigation is ongoing.
- * Wells said he will talk to Revira after she and Robledo meet
- * Wells said he has not talked to Pat Hammack about this ongoi criminal investigation.
- * Wells said the investigation involves the shipment of unmani hazardous wastes from all over the US, including some from o U.S., to Mexico via various forwarders.
- * Wells said that he is not considering the forwarders are PRP case. He said the forwarders, on both sides of U.S./Mexican merely handle the paperwork needed to move materials from on another at the request of the originators.
- * Wells said that, for OCI purposes, there are two locations f Site. The first is in the Laredo rail yard of the Union Pac drums of unmanifested hazardous wastes were found inside pig trailers (found via search warrants). The second is in a wa owned by Commercial Forwarders of Laredo where unmanifested hazardous wastes were also found (owner of the warehouse vol information that these drums were in his warehouse). Rudolp is the owner of Commercial Forwarders of Laredo.
- * Maynard Metals is one of several companies that sent unmanif hazardous wastes through various forwarders in Laredo with t destination being Mexico. Waste from Maynard Metals was fou Union Pacific rail yard.
- * The unmanifested hazardous waste found in the warehouse does belong to Maynard Metals. It belongs to Mason Company (I be Wells said Mason Company is an Ohio company).
- * To my statement that ERB has indicated that the PRPs want to and conduct a clean up at the Site, Wells said it couldn't h Galvan. Galvan's attorney has already said his client shoul responsible for any clean up costs that might be incurred at warehouse.



9103541

- * Wells agreed that the hazardous wastes at the Site will have removed. However, such removal should/must not interfere with ongoing criminal investigation. Wells added that he thought only concerned with the wastes at the warehouse. He said it understanding that TWC was working the Union Pacific location

CONCLUSION/QUESTIONS:

- * Suggest you call Lisa Rivera to find out what we can/cannot
- * I will do nothing further until you advise me what you want
- * Is our Site only the warehouse or should it also include the yard.
- * Who is the PRP that ERB has said wants to sample and conduct removal?